International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology
Special Issue SACAIM 2017, pp. 406-409
e-ISSN:2278-621X

A SURVEY ON ENERGY EFFICIENCY ALGORITHMS IN CLOUD ENVIRONMENT

Nithin Pinto[1], Deelan Joston Monteiro[2], Mithun D'Souza[3] & Dr. S. Sathyanarayana[4]

Abstract- Due to the excess use of cloud environment there's a heavy consumption of energy which needs to be managed effectively. Which in-turn has lead to the management of less usage of energy in the cloud environment. To manage, there is a need of effective energy efficient algorithms. In this paper, a survey is done on various energy efficient algorithms i.e., Exact VM Allocation, Combination of allocation and migration algorithms, Sorting VMs and PMs to minimize the use of PMs, Energy Aware Migration Algorithm and the comparative study is made on the basis of features and tools used.
Keywords– Cloud computing, Energy efficiency, VM placement, VM migration, Virtualization, Energy Consumption.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing has turned out to be one of the quickly developing ideal models in registering framework. It is a model for giving IT assets as an administration in a cost proficient and pay-per-utilize way By embracing Cloud administrations, organizations and basic clients are empowered to externalize their equipment assets, administrations, applications and their IT capacities. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) characterizes distributed computing as takes after, "Cloud computing is a compensation for every utilization show for empowering helpful, on-request arrange access to a common pool of configurable figuring assets, for example, systems, servers, stockpiling, applications, and administrations. It can be quickly provisioned and discharged with minimum administration exertion and specialist organization collaboration" [1]. These applications, frameworks, shared assets and so on are given as a support of the end client over the system. In distributed computing, there are for the most part two sorts of cloud models i.e., Cloud Service model and Cloud Deployment demonstrate.. Cloud Service Model includes PaaS (platform as a service), SaaS (Software as a service) and IaaS (infrastructure as a service) [7]. PaaS provides application development environments and software platforms to develop, deploy, and manage Cloud applications while not worrying about the technology and hiding the low-level details from the user [2]. SaaS providers manage infrastructure and have complete control of the application software's. Clients simply get to their applications as though they were facilitated locally and don't have to know anything about the Cloud or even know about the advances points of interest. IaaS is the most clear model for conveying cloud administrations. It alludes to the provisioning and the conveyance of fundamental assets, for example, virtual machines, physical servers, system and capacity [7]. Cloud Deployment show incorporates open cloud, private cloud, half and half cloud and group cloud. Open mists can be utilized by the overall population which is claimed by huge association who offer administrations to the end clients. Private mists can be gotten to just by a particular element i.e. an organization or an association [3]. Cross breed cloud alludes to the mix of at least one open, private and group cloud. They can move the information starting with one cloud then onto the next. Group mists are utilized to share cloud benefits in various associations and organizations.

2. CHARACTERISTICS OF CLOUD COMPUTING

2.1 On-demand–

Assets ought to be constantly accessible when you require them, and you have control over turning them on or off to guarantee there's no absence of asset or wastage happen [15].

2.2 Reliability-

Cloud supplier ought to have the capacity to give client unwavering quality administration, focusing on uptimes of their administration.

2.3 Multi-tenant –

Sometimes you might have a similar asset with another inhabitant. Nonetheless, this is translucent to the client. Cloud laborer might dependable the security perspective, affirm that one tenant won't have the capacity to get to other's information [14]..

[1] Department of Computer Applications, St Aloysius College, AIMIT, Mangalore, Karnataka, India
[2] Department of Computer Applications, St Aloysius College, AIMIT, Mangalore, Karnataka, India
[3] Department of Computer Applications, St Aloysius College, AIMIT, Mangalore, Karnataka, India
[4] Asst. Professor, First grade women's college, Mysore, Karnataka, India

2.4 Utility-based subscription –
You will have to pay the cloud provider as a utility based contribution, just like paying your electricity bill – without any straight investment.

2.5 Scalable –
You ought to have the capacity to gauge (increment or lessening the asset) when required. The cloud suppliers ought to have tasteful ability to address client's issues [14].

2.6 Self-service computation and storage resource –
Interrelated procedures including: charging, asset provisioning, and arrangement ought to act naturally benefit and modified, including significantly less manual preparing. On the off chance that a machine where our administration is facilitated falls flat, the cloud facilitator ought to have the capacity to failover our administration promptly.

3. LITERATURE SURVEY

3.1 Exact VM Allocation Algorithm–
This calculation is secured Bin-Packing approach. It contains requirements and balances in the calculation as legitimate conditions [12]. In this calculation, the VMs are enclosed to an arrangement of servers as indicated by the power this present calculation's primary goal is to do advancement by constraining the server relocation and limit of servers. These two things are accomplished by incorporation of legitimate limitations [4]..

- Power limit of a server can't surpass from greatest power..
- Cloud supplier can't disregard SLA and VM must be distributed to just a single server.
- At the point when the server is languid, it ought to be turned off.

3.2 Exact VMs Migration Algorithm–
In this Algorithm, VMs movement is made strides. During the completion of a VM, there is a need to fill their place best with alternate VMs that are running in alternate servers to adjust the heap to such an extent that greatest usage of a running server is accomplished inside their ability limits [12].The target to move the VM from one server to other is that the servers that have less VM chipping away at it ought to be made sit out of gear by ideally relocating VMs to other server that will prompt vitality ensuring. There are imperatives in VM relocation to have best outcomes.

3.3 Chaining of allocation and migration algorithms–
The allocation and migration algorithms are joined to ensure vitality [13]. These calculations are assembled to ideally move VM and to utilize assets that are free after the fulfillment of occupations.

3.4 Sorting VMs and PMs to Minimize the use of PMs–
In this algorithm PMs reduction is done. In this the set of VMs and set of PMs are arranged accordingly. VMs are arranged in descending order according to need of cores and execution time. PMs are arranged according to the number of VMs running on them and resources left [12].

3.5 Sorting VMs and PMs to Minimize the use of PMs and Executing VMs with similar Execution Time on Same PM–
In this algorithm VMs with comparable execution time are executed on similar PMs that spares the vitality utilization rate. For instance, assume there are two PMs (PM1 and PM2) every one with 10 centers accessible. There are three VMs (VM1, VM2 and VM3) with execution times in hours (8, 1 and 9) and 4 centers requires for handling. As indicated by calculation F the execution will be done with the end goal that PM1 will be chosen to execute VM1 and VM2 and PM2 will be chosen to execute VM3.So in this PMs keep running for just 1 hour in 100% usage therefore squandering vitality however in the event that this execution is finished by proposed calculation than PM1 is chosen to execute VM1 and VM3 and PM2 is chosen to execute VM2 that implies PMs will keep running on 100% proficiency for 10 hours and will use assets greatest and along these lines ensures vitality.

3.6 Energy-aware Migration Algorithm:
In this algorithm migration of VMs is done optimally to save energy. This algorithm is divided into three parts.

- Victim Selection: In Victim Selection the Servers that are required to be changed off to secure vitality are discovered. Servers that are under the edge an incentive as PoT (Power off Threshold) are chosen to turn off. At the point when VMs finish their errand the heap on server diminishes and when stack is less on the server their limit esteem turn out to be not as much as Pot and after that the VMs are moved to different servers with the goal that the casualty server is turn off and vitality can be spared.

- Target Server Selection: In Target Server Selection the servers that are required for VMs to put from Victim Server are discover. In this calculation Target Server is chosen on first-fit distribution strategy.
- Switch on Server:. In Switch on Server the Server are woken up from rest mode. At the point when the edge rate of a Server is over the WoT (Wake up Threshold) at that point the server that are in turn off mode are woken up with the goal that they stack from the Servers whose estimation of limit is come to WoT is transfered to recently arouse server. Barely any VMs are exchanged to new Server to adjust the heap.

4. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Algorithm	Tactic used	Energy Protected	Tool used	Factors Considered
Exact VM Allocation	Extended Bin-Packing	90% more than Best-Fit formulation and heuristic algorithm [17]	Java language implementation and CPLEX	Energy saving, memory, storage, minimize power consumption
Chaining of allocation and migration algorithms	Extended Bin-Packing and Integer Linear Program (ILP)	95% more than Best-Fit formulation and heuristic algorithm	Java language implementation and CPLEX	Minimization of active servers, maximization of ideal servers.
Sorting VMs and PMs to Minimize the use of PMs	Sorting applied to SPMs and SVMs	29% more than Custom Round Robin Allocations [19]	The UnaCloud Infrastructure [16]	VM execution time, PMs and VMs resources
Sorting VMs and PMs to Minimize the use of PMs and Executing VMs with similar Execution Time on Same PM	Sorting and Executing VMs with similar execution time on same PMs	30% more than Custom Round Robin Allocations [19]	The UnaCloud Infrastructure [16]	VM execution time, PMs and VMs resources
Energy Aware Migration Algorithm	First Fit allocation	22% more than Dynamic Round Robin[8] and Random Choice Method [18]	Custom Built Simulator	Load Balancing, minimization of active servers.

Table 1: Comparison of different Energy Efficieny Algorithms

5.CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have made a survey on various kinds of algorithms. As cloud computing is an emerging technology, they must be more advanced to focus on resource management. This paper will help the future researchers to understand how the resources are managed in cloud and hopefully come up with the new ideas.

6. REFERENCES

[1] P.Mell and T.Grance, "The NIST definition of cloud computing (draft)", NIST special publication, vol.800,pp.145.

[2] V.P. Anuradha, D.Sumathi, "A Survey on Resource Allocation Strategies in Cloud Computing", ICICES2014 - S.A.Engineering College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

[3] Deepesh Kumar, Dr.AjayShankerSingh, "A Survey on Resource Allocation Techniques in Cloud Computing", International Conference on Computing, Communication and Automation (ICCCA2015).

[4] Srushti Patel, KrunalSuthar. "A Survey of Resource Allocation Policies in Cloud Computing". International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, Volume 3, Issue 10, ISSN: 2277 128X.

[5] SangeetaKumari, Ravi Kant Kapoor, Shailendra Singh, "A Survey on Different Techniques for Information Resource Scheduling in Cloud Computing", 2015 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks.

[6] Aditya Narayan Singh and Shiva Prakash, "Challenges and Opportunities of Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing: A Survey"

[7] Mohd Hairy Mohamaddiah, Azizol Abdullah, ShamalaSubramaniam, and MasnidaHussin, "A Survey on Resource Allocation and Monitoring in Cloud Computing", International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing, Vol. 4, No. 1, February 2014.

[8] AmitNathani , Sanjay Chaudhary, GauravSomani, "Policy based resource allocation in IaaS cloud" , Future Generation Computer Systems 28, Elsevier, 2012 ,pp 94–103.

[9] SasmitaParida, SuvenduChandanNayak, "Study of Deadline Sensitive Resource Allocation Scheduling Policy in Cloud Computing", International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, ISSN 2320–088X IJCSMC, Vol. 3, Issue. 12, December 2014, pg.521 – 528

[10] Liji Jacob, "Bat Algorithm for Resource Scheduling in Cloud Computing", International Journal of Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology (IJRASET), Volume 2, Issue 4.

[11] K C Gouda, Radhika T V, Akshatha M, "Priority based resource allocation model for cloud computing", International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research (IJSETR) Volume 2, Issue 1.

[12] Disha Patel, Ms.JasmineJha, " Hungarian Method Based Resource Scheduling algorithm in Cloud Computing", IJARIIE-ISSN(O)-2395-4396 Vol-2 Issue-3 2016 [13] BaominXu a, Chunyan Zhao b, EnzhaoHua, Bin Hu c,d, "Job scheduling algorithm based on Berger model in cloud environment", Science Direct, Advances in Engineering Software 42 (2011) 419–425.

[13] BaominXu a, Chunyan Zhao b, EnzhaoHua, Bin Hu c,d, "Job scheduling algorithm based on Berger model in cloud environment", Science Direct, Advances in Engineering Software 42 (2011) 419–425.

[14] PandabaPradhan, Prafulla Ku. Behera, B N B Ray "Modified Round Robin Algorithm for Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing", International Conference on Computational Modeling and Security (CMS 2016), 2016

[15] Kuyoro, S. O., Omotunde, A. A., Ajaegbu, C., &Ibikunle, F. A. (2012), "Towards Building a Secure Cloud Computing Environment", International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, 3(4), 166-171.

[16] Shinji Kikuchi , Yasuhide Matsumoto and Fujitsu Labs. Ltd Kawasaki, "Impact of live migration on multi-tier application performance in clouds", 5th IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing, pp. 261-266, june 2012.

[17] Chaima Ghribi, Makhlof Hadiji and Djamal Zeghlache, "Energy efficient VM scheduling for cloud data centers: exact allocation and migration algorithms", in 13th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud, and Grid Computing (CCGrid) pp.671-678, may 2013.

[18] Ching-Chi Lin, Anton Beloglazov and Rajkumar Buyya, "Energy efficient allocation of virtual machines in cloud data centers", in Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM International Conference on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGRID), pp. 577–578, may 2010.

[19] Cesar O. Diaz, Harold Castro, Mario Villamizar, Johnatan E. Pecero and Pascal Bouvry, " Energy-aware VM allocation on an opportunistic cloud infrastructure", in 13th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud, and Grid Computing (CCGrid), pp. 663-670, may 2013.